Poster Rubric/Judges Score Sheet

Poster number:	
Poster number.	

Judges: Please mark the appropriate score [0, ..., 10] in the corresponding category in the blank provided on the left. Then, sum the left column. Each section has only one score [0, ..., 10]. Feel free to add comments below.

Section/Score	0 1 (Very Poor)	2 3 (Poor)	4 5 6 (Acceptable)	7 8 (Very Good)	9 10 (Exceptional)
Goals, Hypothesis & Background Score:	Purpose is not stated Unclear hypothesis or goal Background info is minimal	Purpose is not relevant Questionable hypothesis/goal Background info given but not relevant	Purpose is moderately relevant Hypothesis/goal is somewhat logical Background info is somewhat relevant	Purpose is relevant Logical hypothesis/goal Sufficient background information is complete and concise	Purpose is extremely relevant Goal/hypothesis stated clearly & concisely Background information is relevant and summarized well
Methodology Score:	No methodology presented No experimental design	Methodology is not relevant to research goal Poor experimental design	Methodology is somewhat relevant to research goal Experimental design choice is appropriate	Methodology is relevant Experimental designs are done well	Methodology is completely relevant Experimental design is highly appropriate
Results & Conclusions Score:	Misleading data presented to support hypothesis/goal Misleading conclusions No practical implications	Not enough data is presented to address hypothesis/goal Unclear conclusions Unclear practical implications	Adequate data is presented to address hypothesis/goal Average conclusions Expected practical implications presented	Substantial amount of data is presented & clearly addresses hypothesis/goal Conclusion presented in a logical sequence Very practical implications presented	Data use could NOT improve Conclusion is clear, relevant, & presented in a logical sequence Highly practical implications are clear & supported by findings
Knowledge Score:	• Not able to answer judges' questions	• Difficulty answering judges' questions	Answers some judges' questions, but still has difficulty	Answers most judges' questions	• Answers all judges' questions clearly & thoroughly
Poster Presentation Score:	Very poorly laid out Multiple typographical errors No (very poor) tables/graphs Vast improvement	 Poorly laid out Some typographical errors Some (poor) tables/graphs Some improvement 	Acceptable lay out Few typographical errors Clear (acceptable) tables/graphs Acceptable	Good lay out None typographical errors Clear (logical) tables/graphs Little improvement	Great lay out No typographical errors Very clear (very logical) tables/graphs No improvement

T	otal	Sum:	Comment	S
1	otai	Buill.	Commen	ı